

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,823	JAIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) _____

(2) Jasper Kwoh, Regd.No. 54,921.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 January 2007

Time: 5.30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

discussed claims 1,4-8,11-12,14-25

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pub.No. 2002/0169788; US Patent No. 6,418,448

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Jasper Kwoh, Regd. No. 54,921 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,4-8,11-12,14-25, and amend specification page 42, paragraph starting starting line 15 in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.